

ATTENDANCE:

| | |
|----------|-------------|
| Wendy | Vit |
| John | Rustige |
| Rob | Kaleel |
| Gary | Beckstead |
| Mike | Coulson |
| Mike | Alesandrini |
| Susannah | Fuchs |
| Emily | Andrews |
| Ken | Hagg |
| Ken | Anderson |
| Kevin | Herdler |
| Amy | Algoe-Eakin |
| Lee | Daniels |
| Melissa | Hart |
| Ginger | Harris |
| Tom | Siedhoff |
| Kelen | Shostak |
| David | Shanks |
| Steve | Nagle |
| Thomas | Schultz |

Rob Kaleel gave an update on the LADCO/ Midwest RPO's inventory. A series of conference calls have been set up throughout the month of January in order for the final corrections and quality control checks to be completed. Missouri's inventory is part of the CENRAP inventory and Missouri needs to participate in the conference calls in an effort to get all necessary fixes to the state's inventory incorporated into Midwest's inventory.

LADCO plans on running control strategy runs using the data referenced above sometime in February/ March.

The group discussed how to incorporate CENRAP's inventory. This inventory is a little behind LADCO/ Midwest RPO. It was suggested that the CENRAP inventory be incorporated using an iterative process, as the information becomes available. In an effort to keep the process moving, LADCO/ Midwest inventory should be used initially and when CENRAP's data becomes available, it should be incorporated. Raw CENRAP data should be available sometime next week.

CENRAP has focused its efforts on improving inventory data for sources contributing to regional haze, including prescribed burning, agricultural tilling, and animal husbandry operations. Therefore, the CENRAP inventory is more critical for PM 2.5 than ozone. .

Wendy Vit passed out draft emissions summaries for Missouri. This information was requested at the first Control Strategy workgroup meeting in November and was done in an effort to have similar summaries for Illinois and Missouri. The next step is to get similar summaries for PM2.5 precursors.

Industry wants a chance to review data for point sources and make sure everything that the states have is accurate. MDNR and IEPA will get together via conference call and come up with the information that

will be made available to industry (through RCGA) for QA/QC and the best format to use. Some examples of data are stack parameters, lat/long data, etc.

Kelen Shostak handed out the draft control strategy matrix to the group. The group is going to review and be ready to discuss at the next meeting .

Gary Beckstead, IEPA, gave a presentation on the status of several control strategy white papers being developed by Mactec for LADCO. The five draft papers discussed were for the following source categories: Electric Generating Units, Consumer and Commercial Products, Architectural and Industrial Maintenance Coatings, and Portable Fuel Containers. Several other source categories are going to be analyzed using this same process. Once finalized, a link to these reports will be posted on the SIP development web site. The group will discuss how these papers can be best utilized during the control strategy development process for St. Louis. One main purpose for these white papers is to brainstorm ideas that are being done in other parts of the country.

At the next meeting, the group will discuss if the same sort of analysis should be done for source categories that Mactec is not looking at.

Illinois also included a source category ranking, on the matrix presented by Kelen, based on inventory. Missouri committed to doing the same type of ranking based on Missouri's inventory information.

The workgroup is going to focus on developing a matrix of control strategies for the entire St. Louis nonattainment area as an entity (not divided up between the two states).

Next, the group discussed how voluntary control strategies should be handled. EPA has published guidance regarding this topic. This guidance has been placed on the SIP web site. One main issue discussed was the requirement for the states to have contingency rules in place in case voluntary measures do not see as much reduction as expected. One other issue is that of quantification; historically, voluntary measures have been difficult to quantify.

It was noted, however, that the group should keep in mind that some of these voluntary measures, although not quantifiable, can directly impact what is seen at the air monitors.

After the discussion there appeared to be enough interest and Susannah agreed to set up a conference call to discuss further the issue of voluntary measures.

The next Control Strategy Meeting is scheduled for February 18, 2005, East West Gateway Boardroom, St. Louis, Missouri at 10:00 am.